Some generalities on Group Reps and Tensor Categories Since many Weil cohomologies are valued in f.d. vector spaces, we expect motives to also be "finite dimensional" in some sense (not the geometric dimension), as motives are supposed to give a universal cohomology theory. [See the Master's Thesis by Stefano Nicotra for the details. Much of this lecture is from that We begin with some abstract nonsense. Def: A tensor category is a 5-tuple $(C, \otimes, \varphi, \Psi, (1, e))$, where C is a category and $\otimes: C \times C \rightarrow C$ is a bifunctor, such that 1) associativity (pentagen axiom!) $\varphi_{X,Y,Z}: X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \xrightarrow[natural]{}} (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z$ 2) commutativity $Y_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y$ matural Y $\otimes X$ (hexagon axiom!) 3) identity 1 for which X \mapsto 1 $\otimes X$ is an autoequivalence, and c: $1 \mapsto 1 \otimes 1$.

<u>Example</u>: The category of Motives is a tensor category, indeed $M \otimes N = (X \times Y, p \times q, m + m)$ in the tensor product, and $I = h_m$ (Speck) = (Speck, id, O) is the identity. Further, recall the duality operator D((Xd, p, m)) = (Xd, Tp, d-m) makes Mot_ a rigid, Q-linear, pseudo-abelian tensor category (where we extend by additivity from pure dimension d.

We will work in the category of motives, but our definitions make sense for any rigid Q-linear pseudo-abelian &-category. Now given $X \in Sm Projk$, we know that Sn acts on $X^n = X \times \cdots \times X$ vie $(X_1, ..., X_n) \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} (X_{\sigma(1)}, ..., X_{\sigma(m)})$. Further, one can check that this is an actual group action. Fixing or, we see that the graph $\Gamma_{\sigma} \subset X^n \times X^n$ gives a correspondence (over Q) in $Corr^n(X^n, X^n)$. This extends Q-linearly to a morphism

Hence we get a rational representation of Sn. By Maschke's Theorem (as char Q=0), we see that this representation will split into irreducibles.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k)$, $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k$ be a partition of u, then we know irreps of Sn correspond bijectively to partitions. Indeed since Q[Sn] is semisimple:

$$\mathbb{Q}[s_n] \cong \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=n} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}} V_{\lambda}$$

where V_{λ} is the irrep corresponding to λ . Further, each summand comes with an idempotent operator e_{λ} in which $e_{\lambda} = id$ on V_{λ} and O otherwise (see Futton-Harris or Serre for the explicit form of this idempotent).

So then given any of the ex, Γ_{e} is a projector, as $e_{1} \circ e_{2} = e_{1}$ on Xⁿ. One can check that if M = (X, p, m), $M^{\otimes n} = (X^{n}, p^{n}, nm)$, then for any $\sigma \in S_{n}$ we have $\Gamma_{\sigma} \circ p^{n} = p^{n} \circ \Gamma_{\sigma}$, so this does give a morphism in Mot.

Now if $\lambda = (n)$, then the corresponding projector is the graph of $\frac{1}{n!} \ge \sigma$, which is exactly the symmetrization. Hence we set

$$Sym^n(M) = (X^n, \Gamma_{(n)} \circ p^n, nm).$$

If
$$\lambda = (i, i, ..., N)$$
, then the projector is the image of $\frac{1}{2} \sum (i_1 \circ \sigma) \sigma$. Which
is the alternating genetics. Hence we set
 $\Lambda^{i}(M) = (X^{i}, \Gamma_{0,N} \circ P^{i}, nn)$.
But to the a Weil cohomology theory. Then recall that for as $H^{i}(X)$, be $H^{i}(X)$,
 $a \lor b = (\cdot)N^{i}$ by a. This is the condition of super-commutativity. Then we can
dreempose $H^{i}(X)$ as
 $H^{i}(X) = H^{i}(X) \oplus H^{i}(X)$
 $\oplus H^{i}(X) = H^{i}(X)$
 $\oplus H^{i}(X) = (V, \oplus V_{i})_{genet} \oplus (V, \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $V_{i} \oplus V_{2} = (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{genet} \oplus (V, \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $V_{i} \oplus V_{2} = (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{genet} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $V_{i} \oplus V_{2} = (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{genet} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $V_{i} \oplus V_{2} = (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{genet} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $(V_{i} \oplus V_{2})^{i} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i}) \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $(V_{i} \oplus V_{i})^{i} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add}$
 $(V_{i} \oplus V_{i})^{i} \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i}) \oplus (V_{i} \oplus V_{i})_{add} \oplus (V_{i$

1) Although it is not obvious, the dimension is independent of the decomposition.
2) The index m never appears in the definition. Hence (X, P, O) is finite dimensional iff (X, P, m) is, and they have the same dimension.
3) Since Suc Suc, $e_{(n+1)} = r \cdot e_{(n)}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}[S_{n+1}]$, and similar for $e_{(1, \dots, 1)}$. Thus:
$\Gamma_{(n+1)} \circ P^{n+1} = \Gamma_r \circ (\Gamma_{(n)} \times id) \circ P^{n+1}$
$= \Gamma_r^{\circ}(p^* \times p) \circ (\Gamma_{(n)} \times id)$ so $Sym^* \mathcal{M} = 0 \implies Sym^{n+1} \mathcal{M} = 0$, and similar for $\Lambda^* \mathcal{M}$.
4) Sums, tensor product, and duals of f.d. motives are f.d.
Examples:
· 1= (Speck, id, 0) is evenly one dimensional. Indeed:
$\Lambda^2 1 = (\text{Speck} \times \text{Speck}, \text{id} - \text{id}, 0) = 0$
Also $\mu = (\mathbb{P}', \mathbb{P}' \times \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong (Speck, id1)$ is also We will see later that dim MON
is $\leq \dim M \cdot \dim N$. Hence $h_o(X_d) = (X_d, exX_o) \cong 1$ and $h_{2d}(X_d) = (X, X \times e_o) \cong 11^{\otimes d}$
are also evenly 1 dimensional.
· Curves. Recall that if C is a sm. proj. curve, we have
$ch(c) = ch^{2}(c) \oplus ch^{2}(c)$
We can take $ch(c)_{\pm} = ch^{\circ}(c) \oplus ch^{2}(c)$ as those are evenly fin, dim. by the above, but now we have:
<u>Thm:</u> ch'(C) is oddly f.d. of dimension Zg.
Proof: Since one can check that $H'(ch'(c)) = H'(c)$, we have that
$H(Sym^{23}ch'(c)) = \Lambda^{23}H'(c) \neq 0.$
hence dim (ch'(c)) $\geq 2g$. The goal is then to show Sym^{2g+1} ch'(c) = 0. Set:
$\alpha_n = \prod_{(n)}^{7} \circ p_1^n = \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{r=0}^{7}\right) \circ p_1^n (p_1 = \Delta - p_0 - p_2)$
Then $Sym^{ch'(C)} = (C, \alpha_n, 0)$, so we then need $\alpha_{2g+1} \sim 0$. Now since dim C=1, $S^{n}C = C^{n}/s_{n}$ is smooth with quotient map φ_{n} . Define:
$\beta_n = \frac{1}{n!} (\varphi_n)_{\neq} \circ x_n \circ \varphi_n^{\neq} \in CH^n(S^n C \times S^n C).$
<u>Step I:</u> xn = 0 <=> Bn = 0

<u>Step II:</u> If n > 2g - 2, it's well known that the Abel-Jacobi map $\pi : S^n C \to J(C)$ bundle with fibers of dimension m=n-g. Then setting Sn= O(1), is a projective one can show $CH(S^{n}C) \equiv CH(J(C))[1, \overline{s}_{n}, ..., \overline{s}_{n}^{m}].$ Thus showing BECH(S"C) is zero reduces to showing that $\pi_*(\beta 3^*_*) = 0$ for all X. Step III: We have two projections PLP2: S^{2g+1}C × S^{2g+1}C → S^{2g+1}C. Thun $\beta_{2g+1} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{g+1} (\pi_o pr_i \times \pi_o pr_2)^* a_{ij} \cdot pr_1^* 3^{g+1-i} - pr_2^* 3^{g+1-j} a_{ij} \in CH^{i+j-1}(J(c) \times J(c))$ its then enough to show aij = (TOpr, × TOpr2) * (pr, \$ 3' pr2 \$ 3 B23+1) = O. and is trivial as CH' = O (note Biggs) is dimension 291, so this fails when na Zgti). Applying the projection formula, its enough to show pr; 3. B2g+1 =0. Its a long computation from here.